arXiv:1611.06782v1 [math.PR] 21 Nov 2016

THE ORTHOGONAL COMPLEMENTS OF $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ IN ITS REGULAR DIRICHLET EXTENSIONS

YUNCONG SHEN, LIPING LI*, AND JIANGANG YING**

Abstract. Consider the regular Dirichlet extension $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ for one-dimensional Brownian motion, that $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}(f,g)$ for $f, g \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Both $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are Hilbert spaces under $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ and hence there is α-orthogonal compliment $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. We give the explicit expression for functions in $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ which then can be described by another two spaces. On the two spaces, there is a natural Dirichlet form in the wide sense and by the darning method, their regular representations are given.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Notations 2
2. Characterizations of orthogonal complements 3
3. Darning processes 6
A. The orthogonal complements for Dirichlet subspaces of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ 12
References 13

1. Introduction

The notion of regular Dirichlet subspace was first raised for one-dimensional Brownian motion in [2], and then studied in [6, 7] for the general cases and their structures. Precisely, let E be a locally compact separable metric space and m a Radon measure on E fully supported on E. If two regular Dirichlet forms $(\mathcal{E}^1, \mathcal{F}^1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}^2, \mathcal{F}^2)$ on $L^2(E, m)$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{F}^1 \subset \mathcal{F}^2, \quad \mathcal{E}^2(u,v) = \mathcal{E}^1(u,v), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{F}^1,$$

then $(\mathcal{E}^1, \mathcal{F}^1)$ is called a regular Dirichlet subspace of $(\mathcal{E}^2, \mathcal{F}^2)$, and $(\mathcal{E}^2, \mathcal{F}^2)$ is called a regular Dirichlet extension of $(\mathcal{E}^1, \mathcal{F}^1)$. We refer the terminologies about the Dirichlet forms to [1] and [4].

It is well known that the associated Dirichlet form of one-dimensional Brownian motion on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$, where $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is 1-Sobolev space and

$$\mathbf{D}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u'(x)v'(x)dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

The Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ will also be denoted by m throughout this paper. A characterization for regular Dirichlet subspaces of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ was given in [2]. It turns out that every regular Dirichlet subspace of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is irreducible and its associated diffusion process may be characterized by a scale function $\mathtt{s}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, which is absolutely continuous and $\mathtt{s}' = 0$ or 1 a.e. (Cf. [2] and [9, Proposition 2.1]). Later in [8], the authors gave a complete characterization for regular Dirichlet extensions of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Although every regular Dirichlet extension of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is strongly local (Cf. [6, Theorem 1]) and thus it always corresponds to a diffusion process with no killing inside, the challenge is that unlike the subspace, this diffusion process is

Date: November 22, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31C25, 60J55; Secondary 60J60.

Key words and phrases. Regular Dirichlet extensions, regular Dirichlet subspaces, Dirichlet forms, orthogonal complements, darning processes.

*Partially supported by a joint grant (No. 2015LH0043) of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation and Chinese Academy of Science, and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2016M590145).

** Partially supported by NSFC No. 11271240.

not necessarily irreducible. As in [8], let $a < b$ and $I = \langle a, b\rangle$ be one of (a, b), $(a, b]$, $[a, b)$, and $[a, b]$. Denote by $\mathbf{T}(I)$ all strictly increasing and continuous functions $\mathtt{t}(x)$ on I such that

$$dx \ll d\mathtt{t}, \quad \frac{dx}{d\mathtt{t}} = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad d\mathtt{t}\text{-a.e.}$$

where '$\ll$' means 'absolutely continuous'. We can extend $\mathtt{t}(x)$ to $[a, b]$ because $\mathtt{t}(x)$ is monotonic. Define

$$\mathbf{T}_\infty(I) := \{t \in \mathbf{T}(I) : \ \mathtt{t}(a) = -\infty \text{ iff } a \notin I, \text{ and } \mathtt{t}(b) = \infty \text{ iff } b \notin I\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where 'iff' stands for 'if and only if'. Note that $\mathbf{T}_\infty(I)$ is not empty (Cf. [8, Remark 3.1]). One of the main results, Theorem 3.3, of [8] showed that every regular Dirichlet extension $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is expressed as follows: there exist a series of at most countable disjoint intervals $\{I_n = \langle a_n, b_n\rangle : n \geq 1\}$ satisfying $m((\bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n)^c) = 0$ and a series of scale functions $\{\mathtt{t}_n \in \mathbf{T}_\infty(I_n) : n \geq 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : f|_{I_n} \in \mathcal{F}^n, \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{E}^n(f|_{I_n}, f|_{I_n}) < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}(f, g) &= \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{E}^n(f|_{I_n}, g|_{I_n}), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $(\mathcal{E}^n, \mathcal{F}^n)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^n &= \left\{ f \in L^2(I_n) : f \ll \mathtt{t}_n, \int_{I_n} \left(\frac{df}{d\mathtt{t}_n}\right)^2 d\mathtt{t}_n < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}^n(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{I_n} \frac{df}{d\mathtt{t}_n}\frac{dg}{d\mathtt{t}_n} d\mathtt{t}_n, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f \ll \mathtt{t}_n$ means that there exists an absolutely continuous function g such that $f = g \circ \mathtt{t}_n$ and $df/d\mathtt{t}_n := g' \circ \mathtt{t}_n$. In other words, the extension $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ can be decomposed into at most countable irreducible parts. Its associated diffusion process on each interval I_n is an irreducible diffusion process with the scale function $\mathtt{t}_n$ and speed measure $m|_{I_n}$. Moreover, the condition $m((\bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n)^c) = 0$ indicates $(\bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n)^c$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-polar set relative to $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ (Cf. [8, Remark 3.4(4)]). Several examples of extensions are given in [8, §3.3].

Since $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is a regular Dirichet subspace of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ given by (1.2), it follows that $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the inner product $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ for any constant $\alpha > 0$. Naturally, we can define the α-orthogonal complement of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, g) = 0, \forall g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

We also write $\mathcal{F} = H^1(\mathbb{R}) \oplus_\alpha \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ or $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{F} \ominus_\alpha H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Replacing $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ by their extended Dirichlet spaces, the special case $\alpha = 0$ was investigated in [8, §4.1]. The main purpose of this paper is to explore the α-orthogonal complement $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ for any fixed constant $\alpha > 0$. Recall that the same topic on the regular Dirichlet subspace of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ was already considered in [5]. There are also some discussions with similar form in Example 1.2.2 of [4].

The structure of this paper is as follows. First we give the expression of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ as in [5], and then derive another explicit expression consists two functions $\mathfrak{c}_+$ and $\mathfrak{c}_-$. We show that $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ form a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{C}_\pm$ to which there is a linear bijection from $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Furthermore, the natural quadratic form $\mathcal{E}_\pm$ on $\mathfrak{C}_\pm$ is a Dirichlet form in the wide sense. Finally, we use the darning method to derive the regular representation of $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ on each interval I_n.

Notations. For each $n \geq 1$, set

$$U_n := \left\{ x \in I_n : \frac{dx}{d\mathtt{t}_n} = 1 \right\}.$$

Note that U_n is defined in the sense of $d\mathtt{t}_n$-a.e. Set $W_n := I_n \setminus U_n$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(W_n) = 0, \\ &\frac{dx}{d\mathtt{t}_n} = 1_{U_n}, \quad d\mathtt{t}_n\text{-a.e.}, \end{aligned}$$

which will be used frequently. Hence some fact holds 'a.e. on I_n' is equivalent to it holds '$d\mathtt{t}_n$-a.e. on U_n'. Set further

$$U := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} U_n, \quad W := U^c, \quad I := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n.$$

Following [8], we write $I_n = \langle a_n, b_n\rangle$ where a_n (resp. b_n) may or may not be in I_n. Given a function f on $\mathbb{R}$ and a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, the restriction of f to A is denoted by $f|_A$. The restriction of a measure m to A is denoted by $m|_A$. In addition, if $\mathbf{S}$ is some symbol, then $\mathbf{S}_\pm$ means $\mathbf{S}_+$ or $\mathbf{S}_-$, i.e., the sentence or equation in which it is located holds for both $\mathbf{S}_+$ and $\mathbf{S}_-$.

2. Characterizations of orthogonal complements

Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be (1.2) a regular Dirichlet extension of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{I_n = \langle a_n, b_n\rangle : n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\mathtt{t}_n : n \geq 1\}$ be the associated intervals and scale functions. Fix a constant $\alpha > 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ given by (1.3) is the α-orthogonal complement of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathcal{F}$.

Denote by $H^1_e(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}_e$ the extended Dirichlet spaces of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively. In [8] the authors investigated the orthogonal complement of $H^1_e(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathcal{F}_e$:

$$\mathcal{G} := \{f \in \mathcal{F}_e : \mathcal{E}(f, g) = 0, \forall g \in H^1_e(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

[8, Theorem 4.2] tells us that

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F}_e : \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathtt{t}_n} = 0, d\mathtt{t}_n\text{-a.e. on } U_n \text{ for any } n \geq 1 \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

and $f \in \mathcal{F}_e$ can be decomposed uniquely up to a constant as

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1 \in H^1_e(\mathbb{R}), f_2 \in \mathcal{G}.$$

We use the similar method to derive the first expression of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For any constant $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \frac{df|_{I_m}}{d\mathtt{t}_m}(x) = 2\alpha \int_x^y f(z)dz, \text{ a.e. } x \in I_m, y \in I_n, \forall m, n \geq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Fix two functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that supp$[g]$ is in some interval (a, b). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx &= -\int_a^b f(x)dx \int_x^b g'(y)dy \\ &= -\int_a^b g'(y)dy \int_a^y f(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $f_n = f \cdot 1_{I_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1} \int_a^b \frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y)g'(y)dy - \alpha \int_a^b g'(y)dy \int_a^y f(x)dx \\ &= \int_a^b g'(y)dy \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \alpha \int_a^y f(x)dx \right].\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that f is in the right side of (2.2). Then for a.e. $x, y \in (\bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n) \cap (a, b)$ with $x \in I_m, y \in I_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(x) - \alpha \int_a^x f(z)dz &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{df_m}{dt_m}(x) - \alpha \int_a^x f(z)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \alpha \int_a^y f(z)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \alpha \int_a^y f(z)dz \equiv C,\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. Since $m((a, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n) = 0$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, g) = C \cdot \int_a^b g'(y)dy = 0.$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ because $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mathcal{E}_1$-dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

On the contrary, assume $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f, g) = 0, \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Suppose $\mathrm{supp}[g] \subset (a, b)$ as above. Let

$$h(y) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{df_n}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \alpha \int_a^y f(x)dx, \quad y \in (a, b).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \int_a^b g'(y)h(y)dy = 0, \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus $h(x)$ is a constant for a.e. $x \in (a, b)$. It follows that

$$0 = 2h(y) - 2h(x) = \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathtt{t}_n}(y) - \frac{df|_{I_m}}{dt_m}(x) - 2\alpha \int_x^y f(z)dz$$

for a.e. $x \in I_m \cap (a, b), y \in I_n \cap (a, b)$. Since (a, b) can be taken arbitrarily large, f is in the right side of (2.2), which completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. In the case of $\alpha = 0$, formally we have

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathtt{t}_n} = c, \text{ a.e. on } I_n, \forall n \geq 1 \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

for some constant c. However, since $f \in \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, it follows that

$$\infty > \mathcal{E}(f, f) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{E}^n(f|_{I_n}, f|_{I_n}) \geq \frac{c^2}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{I_n} 1_{U_n}(x) \cdot d\mathtt{t}_n(x) = \frac{c^2}{2} m(\mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore $c = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathtt{t}_n} = 0, \text{ a.e. on } I_n, \forall n \geq 1 \right\},$$

which is the same as (2.1) if we replace $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{e}}$.

Now we turn to formulate another explicit expression of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Keep in mind that the function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is continuous on each interval I_n, but not necessarily continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, the restriction $f|_{I_n}$ of f to I_n is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathtt{t}_n$ by (1.2), thus it is also continuous on I_n. For the second assertion, we refer an example to [8, Example 4.4]. Furthermore, f does not have point-wise definition on $\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_n\right)^c$ since $m(\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_n\right)^c) = 0$.

We first assume that a $d\mathtt{t}_n$-version of U_n is open for any $n \geq 1$, which will be cancelled in Theorem 2.3. This is a very natural assumption since the typical example of $W_n = I_n \setminus U_n$ is a Cantor-type set as in [2] and [8, §4.2]. As [8, (4.7)], write U_n as a union of disjoint open intervals:

$$U_n = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} (a_m^n, b_m^n). \tag{2.4}$$

Recall that $U = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} U_n$ and $W = U^c$. Fix $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ and positive $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $d\mathtt{t}_n = dx$ on (a_m^n, b_m^n), it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$f'(y) - f'(x) = 2\alpha \int_x^y f(z)dz, \quad x, y \in (a_m^n, b_m^n),$$

and we attain the harmonic equation similar to [5, §3]:

$$\frac{1}{2} f''(x) = \alpha f(x), \quad x \in (a_m^n, b_m^n). \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly, f is twice differentiable in (a_m^n, b_m^n). Thus the solution of (2.5) is

$$f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_+^{n,m}}{2} \cdot e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + \frac{\mathfrak{c}_-^{n,m}}{2} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x \in (a_m^n, b_m^n)$$

for two constants $\mathfrak{c}_+^{n,m}, \mathfrak{c}_-^{n,m}$. Therefore we have

$$f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_+(x)}{2} e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + \frac{\mathfrak{c}_-(x)}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x \in U, \tag{2.6}$$

with two functions $\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-$ satisfying $\mathfrak{c}_\pm(x) \equiv \mathfrak{c}_\pm^{n,m}$ for $x \in (a_m^n, b_m^n)$. This implies

$$\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_\pm}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0, \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. on } U_n,\ n \geq 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ is a Cantor-type function on each interval I_n as we noted above that W_n is a Cantor-type set. Since f is continuous on $I = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} I_n$ and $f|_{I_n} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n$, we expect that $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ can be extended continuously to a function on I, which is still denoted by $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$, and $\mathfrak{c}_\pm|_{I_n} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n$. In other words, (2.6) holds for any $x \in I$ with two functions $\mathfrak{c}_+$ and $\mathfrak{c}_-$ on I satisfying

(C1) $\mathfrak{c}_\pm|_{I_n} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n$ for any $n \geq 1$ and (2.7) holds.

Furthermore, if **(C1)** holds, some simple computations deduce that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{2}\left(\mathfrak{c}_+(x)e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} - \mathfrak{c}_-(x)e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\right), \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. } x \in U_n, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_+|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x)e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_-|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x)e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\right), \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. } x \in W_n. \tag{2.9}$$

Denote the right side of (2.8) by $u(x)$ for $x \in U$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$u(y) - u(x) = 2\alpha \int_x^y f(z)dz, \quad \text{a.e. } x, y \in U.$$

Since $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, we can obtain

(C2) $u(x) := \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{2}\left(\mathfrak{c}_+(x)e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} - \mathfrak{c}_-(x)e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\right)$, $x \in U$ can be extended to an absolutely continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$.

We need to point out that although $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ is a constant on (a_n^m, b_n^m) and hence absolutely continuous on U, it is usually not absolutely continuous on $W = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} W_n$.

The following theorem indicates that **(C1)** and **(C2)** are not only necessary but also sufficient, and the assumption that U_n is open is not essential.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_+(x)}{2}e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + \frac{\mathfrak{c}_-(x)}{2}e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x \in I, \tag{2.10}$$

*where $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ is a pair of functions defined on I satisfying **(C1)** and **(C2)**. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ in* (2.10) *is uniquely determined by f.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. It follows from (2.2) that there exists an absolutely continuous function u on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $u'(x) = 2\alpha f(x)$ on I and

$$u(x) = \frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x), \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. } x \in U_n. \tag{2.11}$$

Define $\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}_+(x) &:= e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\left(f(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}u(x)\right), \\ \mathfrak{c}_-(x) &:= e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\left(f(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}u(x)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for $x \in I$. Then we have (2.10), and **(C2)** holds. Clearly $\mathfrak{c}_\pm|_{I_n} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n$ and the density on each I_n is

$$\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_\pm}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} = e^{\mp\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\left(\frac{df}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} - u(x)\frac{dx}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}\right).$$

Hence (2.7) follows from (2.11).

On the contrary, suppose that f is defined by (2.10) with $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ satisfying **(C1)** and **(C2)**. By (2.8) and **(C2)**, we have

$$\frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x) = u(x), \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. } x \in U_n,$$

where u is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence for $d\mathfrak{t}_m$-a.e. $x \in U_m$ and $d\mathfrak{t}_n$-a.e. $y \in U_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(y) - \frac{df_{I_m}}{d\mathfrak{t}_m}(x) &= \int_x^y u'(z)dz \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{(x,y)\cap U_n} \frac{du}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} d\mathfrak{t}_n \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{(x,y)\cap U_n} \alpha\left(\mathfrak{c}_+(z)e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}z} + \mathfrak{c}_-(z)e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}z}\right)\frac{dz}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} d\mathfrak{t}_n. \\ &= 2\alpha\sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{(x,y)\cap U_n} f(z)dz \\ &= 2\alpha\int_x^y f(z)dz.\end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ follows from (2.12). That completes the proof. □

Remark 2.4. (1) It follows from the proof that if $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ satisfies **(C1)** and **(C2)**, then

$$\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_+|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x)e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} = \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_-|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}(x)e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. } x \in W_n, n \geq 1.$$

In other words, the two terms in the right side of (2.9) are equal.

(2) When f has the form of (2.10), $f \in \mathcal{F}$ can be read as the L^2-integrability of (2.10), (2.8), and (2.9), which are equivalent respectively to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{c}_+(x)^2 e^{2\sqrt{2\alpha}x}dx < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{c}_-(x)^2 e^{-2\sqrt{2\alpha}x}dx < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{W_n}\left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_+}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\right)^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n = \sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{W_n}\left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_-}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}\right)^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n < \infty,$$

(3) When $\alpha = 0$, clearly $\mathfrak{c}_+ = \mathfrak{c}_-$ and **(C2)** is trivial ($u \equiv 0$). Hence (2.10) is actually the same as the condition in (2.3).

The following is a very simple example of $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ satisfying **(C1)** and **(C2)**.

Example 2.5. Fix an integer n and $-\infty = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_n < d_{n+1} = \infty$. Let $I_i := (d_{i-1}, d_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ be the intervals associated with the extension $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Take two sets of constants $\{\mathfrak{c}_+^{(i)} : i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{c}_+^{(i)} : i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{c}_+^{(i)}e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}d_i} - \mathfrak{c}_-^{(i)}e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}d_i} = \mathfrak{c}_+^{(i+1)}e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}d_i} - \mathfrak{c}_-^{(i+1)}e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}d_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

and $\mathfrak{c}_-^{(1)} = \mathfrak{c}_+^{(n+1)} = 0$. Define

$$\mathfrak{c}_\pm(x) := \mathfrak{c}_\pm^{(i)}, \quad x \in I_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Clearly, $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ satisfies **(C1)** and **(C2)**. Furthermore, it is easy to check that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. In particular, for $a = d_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$,

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}(x-a)} & x < a, \\ -e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}(a-x)} & x > a. \end{cases}$$

Then f is in $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

3. Darning processes

The purpose of this section is to derive an induced 'darning process' from the class of functions $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$, which are discussed in §2. We first recall the darning process of $\mathcal{G}$ in [8, §4.2]. Note that $\mathcal{G}$ is given by (2.1), which is a pseudo orthogonal complement of $H^1_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{e}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$. Clearly $\mathcal{G}$ is not a 'real' Dirichlet space since it is not dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. However, in [8, Lemma 4.6] the authors assert that $\mathcal{G}$ with the form $\mathcal{E}$ is a Dirichlet form in the wide sense on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which means that it satisfies all the conditions of a Dirichlet form except for the denseness in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $(\mathbb{R}, m, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})$ is called a 'D-space' in Fukushima's terminology. It is proved in [3] by Fukushima that every D-space (say $(\mathbb{R}, m, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})$) has a regular representation in the sense that

there exist a regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{G}')$ on another Hilbert space $L^2(E', m')$ and an algebraic isomorphism Φ from $\mathcal{G} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{G}' \cap L^\infty(E')$ such that

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|\Phi u\|_\infty, \quad \mathcal{E}(u,u) = \mathcal{E}'(\Phi u, \Phi u), \quad (u,u)_m = (\Phi u, \Phi u)_{m'}. \tag{3.1}$$

Following [7, §3.2], the authors introduce the 'darning method' to find the regular representation of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G})$ in [8, §4.2]. Roughly speaking, under the basic assumption 'U_n is open', any function in $\mathcal{G}$ is a constant on the interval (a_m^n, b_m^n) of (2.4). The darning method is regarding this interval as a whole part and collapsing it into a new point. After doing this on every interval of (2.4), they attain a regular Dirichlet form on the new state space and it is actually the desired regular representation. We refer more details to [7, §3.2] and [8, §4.2]. However, the following example indicates that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}_\alpha)$ usually does not satisfy the Markovian property and hence is not a Dirichlet form in the wide sense. Therefore, the darning method on $\mathcal{G}$ in [8] is not available for $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

Example 3.1. Let us consider a special case that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is irreducible, in other words, $I_1 = I = \mathbb{R}$ and the scale function $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathbf{T}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is as follows: $\mathfrak{t}(x) = x + c(x)$, where c is the standard Cantor function on $[0,1]$ with $c(x) := 0$ for $x < 0$ and $c(x) := 1$ for $x > 1$. Clearly,

$$U = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{dx}{d\mathfrak{t}} = 1 \right\} = (-\infty, 0) \bigcup ([0,1] \setminus K) \bigcup (1, \infty),$$

where K is the standard Cantor set in $[0,1]$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that the restriction of any function $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ to $(-\infty, 0)$ is expressed as

$$f(x) = k_+ e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + k_- e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x < 0,$$

with two constants k_+ and k_-. Remark 2.4 (2) yields $k_- = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can take a function $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ such that

$$f(x) = k_+ e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x < 0$$

with $k_+ > 1$. Consider the normal contraction $\phi(x) = 1 \wedge x \vee 0$. Clearly $\phi \circ f \notin \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ and thus $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ does not satisfy the Markovian property.

The condition (2.7) in §2 inspires us to transfer the focus to the functions $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$. As a rough observation, if we put $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathfrak{c}_+ = \mathfrak{c}_- = f$ and it is exactly a function in $\mathcal{G}$, which satisfies the Markovian property as proved in [8, Lemma 4.6]. In what follows, we turn to characterize the class of all possible functions $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$.

For convenience, write

$$h_\pm(x) := e^{\pm\sqrt{2\alpha}x}.$$

From Theorem 2.3 we know that $\mathfrak{c}_\pm$ is uniquely determined by f. Thus we may denote

$$\mathfrak{c}_\pm := \Gamma_\pm f, \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$$

and induce linear mapping $\Gamma_\pm$ on $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Let

$$\mathfrak{m}_\pm(dx) := h_\pm(x)^2 dx. \tag{3.2}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_\pm := \Bigg\{ \mathfrak{c} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathfrak{m}_\pm) : \mathfrak{c}|_{I_n} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n, \ \frac{d\mathfrak{c}|_{I_n}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0, \ d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. on } U_n, \\ \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{I_n} \left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} \cdot h_\pm \right)^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n < \infty \Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\pm(\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{I_n} \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_1}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_2}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} h_\pm^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n, \quad \mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2) := \mathcal{E}_\pm(\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2) + (\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2)_{\mathfrak{m}_\pm}.$$

Note that we regard $\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm$ as equal if and only if $\mathfrak{c}_1(x) = \mathfrak{c}_2(x)$ for $x \in I$. Then we have the following result to describe the mapping $\Gamma_\pm$.

Theorem 3.2. *The mapping*

$$\Gamma_\pm : \mathcal{G}_\alpha \to \mathfrak{C}_\pm, f \mapsto \mathfrak{c}_\pm$$

induced by (2.10) *is a linear bijection and for any* $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\pm(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f) = \frac{1}{2}\int_W d\mu_{\langle f\rangle}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mu_{\langle f\rangle}$ *is the energy measure of* f *relative to* $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. *Furthermore, there exists a constant* $K_\alpha > 1$ *such that for any* $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$,

$$K_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f) \le \mathcal{E}_1(f,f) \le K_\alpha \mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f). \tag{3.6}$$

To proceed, we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *then for any* $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[e^{-\lambda x}\int_{-\infty}^x g(z)e^{\lambda z}dz\right]^2 dx \le \lambda^{-2}\|g\|_{L^2}^2,\\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[e^{\lambda x}\int_{x}^\infty g(z)e^{-\lambda z}dz\right]^2 dx \le \lambda^{-2}\|g\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Let $G(x) = e^{-\lambda x}\int_{-\infty}^x g(z)e^{\lambda z}dz$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$G(x)^2 \le e^{-2\lambda x}\int_{-\infty}^x g(z)^2dz\int_{-\infty}^x e^{2\lambda z}dz = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_{-\infty}^x g(z)^2dz \to 0$$

as $x \to -\infty$. Since $G' = -\lambda G + g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 &\ge \int_{-\infty}^a g(x)^2dx\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^a \left(G'^2 + 2\lambda G'G + \lambda^2G^2\right)dx\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^a \left(G'^2 + \lambda^2G^2\right)dx + \lambda G(a)^2\\ &\ge \lambda^2\int_{-\infty}^a G^2dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $a \to \infty$ gives the first inequality and the second follows similarly. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The linearity is obvious. To prove $\Gamma_\pm$ is a bijection, it is exactly to show that for any $\mathfrak{c}_\pm \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm$, there is a unique $\mathfrak{c}_\mp \in \mathfrak{C}_\mp$ defined on I satisfying **(C2)**.

Without loss of generality, we fix a $\mathfrak{c}_+ \in \mathfrak{C}_+$, and assume that $\mathfrak{c}_- \in \mathfrak{C}_-$ satisfying **(C2)**. Then $v(x) := \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 - \mathfrak{c}_-(x)$ has an absolutely continuous extension on $\mathbb{R}$ which we still denote by v. Hence for $x, y \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}_-(y) - \mathfrak{c}_-(x) &= \mathfrak{c}_+(y)h_+(y)^2 - \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 - \int_x^y v'(z)dz\\ &= \mathfrak{c}_+(y)h_+(y)^2 - \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 - \sum_{n\ge1}\int_{(x,y)\cap U_n}\frac{dv}{d\mathtt{t}_n}d\mathtt{t}_n\\ &= \mathfrak{c}_+(y)h_+(y)^2 - \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha}\int_x^y \mathfrak{c}_+(z)h_+(z)^2dz. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{c}_-(x) - \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 + 2\sqrt{2\alpha}\int_{-\infty}^x \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(z)^2dz \equiv C$$

for some constant C. By (3.7), we can see that $Ch_-(x)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore $C = 0$ and

$$\mathfrak{c}_-(x) = \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x)^2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha}\int_{-\infty}^x \mathfrak{c}_+(z)h_+(z)^2dz, \quad x \in I. \tag{3.8}$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{c}_-$ defined by (3.8) is unique in $\mathfrak{C}_-$ and satisfies **(C2)**. Hence $\Gamma_\pm$ is a bijection. By (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we have (3.5) and

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_U d\mu_{\langle f\rangle} + (f,f)_m = \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}((\Gamma_+f)h_+ - (\Gamma_-f)h_-)^2dx + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}((\Gamma_+f)h_+ + (\Gamma_-f)h_-)^2dx$$

for $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\Gamma_+ f)h_+ - (\Gamma_- f)h_-)^2 dx \le 4(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f)_{\mathfrak{m}_\pm}.$$

A little computation gives

$$\left(\alpha \wedge \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f) \le \mathcal{E}_1(f,f) \le (2\alpha+4)\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f).$$

That completes the proof. □

As a result, we assert that $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm)$ in the wide sense. When (formally) $\alpha = 0$, clearly $h_\pm \equiv 1$, $\mathfrak{C}_\pm = \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{E}_\pm = \mathcal{E}$. This is nothing but what has been considered in [8].

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{C}_\pm, \mathcal{E}_\pm$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\pm$ be given by* (3.3), (3.4) *and* (3.2) *respectively. Then $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm)$ in the wide sense.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ is a symmetric form with Markovian property. The closedness follows from (3.6) and the closedness of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}_\alpha)$. □

Corollary 3.5. *For any $\alpha > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$f(x) = \mathfrak{c}_+(x)h_+(x) - \sqrt{2\alpha}h_-(x)\int_{-\infty}^x c_+(z)h_+(z)^2 dz, \quad \mathfrak{c}_+ \in \mathfrak{C}_+,$$

or equivalently

$$f(x) = \mathfrak{c}_-(x)h_-(x) - \sqrt{2\alpha}h_+(x)\int_x^\infty c_-(z)h_-(z)^2 dz, \quad \mathfrak{c}_- \in \mathfrak{C}_-.$$

Now we shall apply the darning method introduced in the beginning of this section. Note that the key to the darning method is an induced transform by $\mathfrak{t}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{t}_n(I_n)$ is unbounded when I_n is not closed (Cf. §1.1), it is better to consider the restriction of $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ to each invariant interval I_n than $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ itself. Fix an integer $n \ge 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}_\pm^n &:= \{\mathfrak{c}|_{I_n} : \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm\} \\ &= \left\{\mathfrak{c} \in L^2(I_n; \mathfrak{m}_\pm|_{I_n}) : \mathfrak{c} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n, \frac{d\mathfrak{c}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0, d\mathfrak{t}_n\text{-a.e. on } U_n, \int_{I_n}\left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}h_\pm\right)^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n < \infty\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\pm^n(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{I_n}\left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}}{d\mathfrak{t}_n}\right)^2 h_\pm^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n.$$

Further set $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^n := \mathfrak{m}_\pm|_{I_n}$. Similar to Corollary 3.4 we can deduce that $(\mathcal{E}_\pm^n, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n)$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(I_n, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^n)$ in the wide sense.

Recall that $I_n = \langle a_n, b_n\rangle$. Hereafter, we enforce the basic assumptions in [8, §4.2]:

(H1) U_n has (and is taken as) a $d\mathfrak{t}_n$-a.e. open version, and U_n is written as (2.4).
(H2) For any $x \in W_n \cap (a_n, b_n)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $d\mathfrak{t}_n((x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon) \cap W_n) > 0$.
(H3) $d\mathfrak{t}_n(W_n) > 0$.

Note that **(H2)** is not essential as noted in [8, §4.2] and we refer the explanation for the structures of U_n and W_n under these assumptions to [8, Remark 4.5].

We shall describe the darning method to find the regular representation of $(\mathcal{E}_\pm^n, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n)$. Since the presence of weight function $h_\pm$, the case here is more complicated than that in [8]. Let

$$l_n := \inf\{x : x \in W_n\}, \quad r_n := \sup\{x : x \in W_n\}.$$

Define the following transform on I_n which collapses each open interval (a_m^n, b_m^n) in (2.4) into a point:

$$j_n(x) := \int_{e_n}^x 1_{W_n}(y) d\mathfrak{t}_n(y), \quad x \in I_n, \tag{3.9}$$

where e_n is a fixed point in (a_n, b_n). Further set

$$l_n^* := j_n(l_n) \ge -\infty, \quad r_n^* := j_n(r_n) \le \infty.$$

For the right endpoints r_n and r_n^*, there are the following possible situations:

(R1) $b_n < \infty, b_n \notin I_n$: since U_n is open and $\mathfrak{t}_n(b_n) = \infty$, it follows that $r_n = b_n$ and $r_n^* = \infty$.
(R2) $b_n < \infty, b_n \in I_n$: we have $r_n = b_n$ and $r_n^* < \infty$.
(R3) $b_n = \infty$:

(R3i) $r_n = \infty, r_n^* < \infty$. This is possible such as the following example. Without loss of generality, assume $I_n = [0, \infty)$. Take a standard Cantor function c in $[0, 1]$. Define a function $C(x)$ on $[0, \infty)$ as follows: $C(x) := c(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and for any integer $k \geq 1$ and $x \in [k, k + 1]$,

$$C(x) := 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \cdot c(x - k).$$

Further set $\mathfrak{t}_n(x) := x + C(x)$. Then we have $r_n = \infty$ and

$$r_n^* = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots < \infty.$$

(R3ii) $r_n = \infty, r_n^* = \infty$. In the above example, replacing the definition of C by

$$C(x) := k + c(x - k), \quad x \in [k, k + 1],$$

we have an example for this case.

(R3iii) $r_n < \infty, r_n^* < \infty$. As in Example 2.5, $r_1 = r_1^* = 1$.

The corresponding cases for the left endpoints l_n and l_n^* are denoted by **(L1)**, **(L2)**, **(L3)**, **(L3i)**, **(L3ii)** and **(L3iii)**. Define the following intervals

$$J_+^{n*} := \langle l_n^*, r_n^* \rangle,$$

where $r_n^* \in J_+^{n*}$ for and only for the case **(R2)** and $l_n^* \in J_+^{n*}$ for and only for the cases **(L2)**, **(L3i)** and **(L3iii)**, and

$$J_-^{n*} := \langle l_n^*, r_n^* \rangle,$$

where $r_n^* \in J_-^{n*}$ for and only for the cases **(R2)**, **(R3i)**, **(R3iii)** and $l_n^* \in J_-^{n*}$ for and only for the case **(L2)**. Note that in the cases **(R1)** and **(R3ii)** (resp. **(L1)** and **(L3ii)**), $r_n^* = \infty$ (resp. $l_n^* = -\infty$).

The darning transform j_n induces an image measure of $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^n$ on $J_\pm^{n*}$

$$\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*} := \mathfrak{m}_\pm^n \circ j_n^{-1}$$

by the convention $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}(J_\pm^{n*} \setminus j_n(I_n)) = 0$. One may easily check that $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}$ is a Radon measure on $J_\pm^{n*}$ with full support. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Denote its Lebesgue decomposition by

$$\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*} = h_\pm^*(x)^2 dx + \kappa_\pm,$$

where $h_\pm^*(x)^2 dx$ is the absolutely continuous part.

Fix a function $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm$. Since $\mathfrak{c} \ll \mathfrak{t}_n$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ is a constant on (a_m^n, b_m^n), the darning transform induces an absolutely continuous function $\mathfrak{c}^*$ on $j_n(I_n)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{c}(x) = \mathfrak{c}^* \circ j_n(x), \quad x \in I_n.$$

We can recompute $\mathcal{E}_\pm^n(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c})$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_\pm^n(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_n}^{r_n} \left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}^* \circ j_n}{d\mathfrak{t}_n} \right)^2 h_\pm^2 d\mathfrak{t}_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_n^*}^{r_n^*} \left(\frac{d\mathfrak{c}^*}{dx} \right)^2 \left(h_\pm^* \right)^2 dx.$$

Denote the last term above by $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*}(\mathfrak{c}^*, \mathfrak{c}^*)$. Further define

$$\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*} := \left\{ \mathfrak{c}^* \in L^2(J_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}) : \exists\, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n \text{ s.t. } \mathfrak{c}^*(x) = \mathfrak{c} \circ j_n^{-1}(x) \text{ for } x \in J_\pm^{n*} \cap j_n(I_n) \right\}.$$

It can be seen that j_n^{-1} is an isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{C}_\pm^n, \mathcal{E}_\pm^n)$ to $(\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*})$. Now we write the definition explicitly according to different cases.

	J_+^{n*}	J_-^{n*}	$j_n(I_n)$
(R1)	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$
(R2)	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$
(R3i)	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*)$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*)$
(R3ii)	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$	$\langle l_n^*, \infty)$
(R3iii)	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*)$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$	$\langle l_n^*, r_n^*]$

Excluding the cases **(L3i) (R3iii)** for $(\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_+^{n*})$, and **(R3i) (L3iii)** for $(\mathfrak{C}_-^n, \mathcal{E}_-)$, we have $J_\pm^{n*} = j_n(I_n)$, hence

$$\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*} = \left\{\mathfrak{c}^* \in L^2(J_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}) : \mathfrak{c}^* \text{ is absolutely continuous, } \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*}(\mathfrak{c}^*, \mathfrak{c}^*) < \infty\right\}.$$

For the case $(\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_+^{n*})$ with **(L3i)**, $l_n^* \in J_+^{n*}$ but $l_n^* \notin j_n(I_n)$, hence

$$\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*} = \left\{\mathfrak{c}^* \in L^2(J_+^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_+^{n*}) : \mathfrak{c}^* \text{ is absolutely continuous on } (l_n^*, r_n^*\rangle,\ \mathcal{E}_+^{n*}(\mathfrak{c}^*, \mathfrak{c}^*) < \infty\right\},$$

whether $r_n^* \in (l_n^*, r_n^*\rangle$ depends on the case of **(R)**. For the case $(\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_+^{n*})$ with **(R3iii)**, $r_n^* \notin J_\pm^{n*}$ but $r_n^* \in j_n(I_n)$. Note that any $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_+^n$ is a constant on $[r_n, \infty)$ whereas $\mathfrak{m}_+^n((r_n, \infty)) = \infty$. Thus $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ on $[r_n, \infty)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*} = \big\{&\mathfrak{c}^* \in L^2(J_+^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_+^{n*}) : \mathfrak{c}^* \text{ is absolutely continuous on } \langle l_n^*, r_n^*),\\ &\lim_{x \uparrow r_n^*} \mathfrak{c}^*(x) = 0,\ \mathcal{E}_+^{n*}(\mathfrak{c}^*, \mathfrak{c}^*) < \infty\big\},\end{aligned}$$

whether $l_n^* \in \langle l_n^*, r_n^*)$ depends on the case of **(L)**. The case $(\mathfrak{C}_-^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_-^{n*})$ with **(R3i)** and **(L3iii)** is similar. The main result of this section is the following. Keep in mind that the adjustment to the endpoints of $J_\pm^{n*}$ relative to $j_n(I_n)$ is to ensure the regularity.

Theorem 3.6. *The quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*})$ is a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(J_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*})$. Furthermore, $(J_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*})$ is a regular representation of $(I_n, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^n, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n, \mathcal{E}_\pm^n)$.*

Proof. We prove the regularity for **(L2)** and all cases of **(R)**, then the other cases follow immediately. For simplicity, we assume $l_n^* = 0$.

In case **(R2)**, $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*} \subset C([0, r_n^*])$; and in **(R3iii)**, $\mathfrak{C}_-^{n*} \subset C([0, r_n^*])$. The regularity is clear.

For **(R1) (R3ii)**, notice $r_n^* = \infty$. There exists functions $\{\theta_k : k \geq 1\}$ with compact support on $[0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq \theta_k \leq 1$ and $\theta_k \uparrow 1$ and $|\theta_k'| < 1/k$. Then for any $\mathfrak{c}^* \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}^{n*}(\mathfrak{c}^*(1-\theta_k), \mathfrak{c}^*(1-\theta_k)) &= \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{c}^{*2}(1-\theta_k)^2 d\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \left(\mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(1-\theta_k) + \mathfrak{c}^*\theta_k'\right)^2 \left(h_\pm^*\right)^2 dx\\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{c}^{*2}\left[(1-\theta_k)^2 + {\theta_k'}^2\right] d\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*} + \int_0^\infty \left(\mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}\right)^2 (1-\theta_k)^2 \left(h_\pm^*\right)^2 dx\\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$. Hence $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*} \cap C_c(J_\pm^{n*})$ is $\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}^{n*}$-dense in $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}$.

The case **(R3i)** is different for $\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_-^{n*}$. For $\mathfrak{c}^* \in \mathfrak{C}_-^{n*}$, let

$$\mathfrak{c}_k^*(x) = \mathfrak{c}^*(0) + \int_0^x \left((-k) \vee \mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(z)\right) \wedge k\ dz.$$

Then $\mathfrak{c}_k^* \in C([0, r_n^*])$ and $\mathfrak{c}_k^*(x) \to \mathfrak{c}^*(x)$ for $x \in [0, r_n^*)$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Note that $|\mathfrak{c}_k^*(x) - \mathfrak{c}^*(x)| \leq \int_0^x (\mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(z) - k)^+ dz$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_0^x |\mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(z)| dz\right)^2 &\leq \int_0^x h_+^*(z)^2 dz \int_0^x \mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(z)^2 h_-^*(z)^2 dz\\ &\leq M \int_0^x h_+^*(z)^2 dz\end{aligned}$$

for some constant M. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{r_n^*} \left(\int_0^x |\mathfrak{c}^{*\prime}(z)| dz\right)^2 \mathfrak{m}_-^{n*}(dx) &\leq M \int_0^{r_n^*} \mathfrak{m}_-^{n*}(dx) \int_0^x h_+^*(z)^2 dz\\ &= M \int_{l_n}^\infty h_-(x)^2 dx \int_{l_n}^x h_+(z)^2 1_{W_n}(z) d\mathfrak{t}_n(z)\\ &= M \int_{l_n}^\infty h_+(z)^2 1_{W_n}(z) d\mathfrak{t}_n(z) \int_z^\infty h_-(x)^2 dx\\ &= (2\sqrt{2\alpha})^{-1} M \int_{l_n}^\infty h_+(z)^2 h_-(x)^2 1_{W_n}(z) d\mathfrak{t}_n(z)\\ &= (2\sqrt{2\alpha})^{-1} M r_n^* < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathfrak{c}_k^* \to \mathfrak{c}^*$ in $\mathcal{E}_{-,1}^{n*}$ again by the dominated convergence theorem. For $\mathfrak{c}^* \in \mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}$, since

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathfrak{c}^*(x) - \mathfrak{c}^*(y)| &\le \int_x^y |c^{*\prime}(z)|dz \\ &\le \int_x^y h_-^*(z)^2 dz \int_x^y c^{*\prime}(z)^2 h_+^*(z)^2 dz \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $x, y \to r_n^*$, it follows that $\mathfrak{c}^*(r_n^*) := \lim_{x\uparrow r_n^*} \mathfrak{c}^*(x)$ exists. Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{c}^*(r_n^*) \neq 0$, there exists $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{c}^*(r_n^*)^2 > \varepsilon$ on $(r_n^* - \delta, r_n^*)$, therefore

$$\int_0^{r_n^*} \mathfrak{c}^*(x)^2 \mathfrak{m}_+^{n*}(dx) \ge \int_{r_n^*-\delta}^{r_n^*} \varepsilon\ \mathfrak{m}_+^{n*}(dx) = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $\mathfrak{c}^*(r_n^*) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*} \subset C_0([0, r_n^*))$, where C_0 denotes the space of functions vanish outside compact sets. Hence $(\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_+^{n*})$ is regular (Cf. [1] 1.3.12).

For **(R3iii)**, we also have $\mathfrak{C}_+^{n*} \subset C_0([0, r_n^*))$, so the regularity follows.

Finally, to see $(J_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}, \mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}, \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*})$ is a regular representation, define $\Phi : \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n \to \mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}$ by

$$(\Phi\mathfrak{c})(x) = \mathfrak{c} \circ j^{-1}(x), \quad \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm^n.$$

From the definition of $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^{n*}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n*}$, we see that equalities in (3.1) holds. Notice that $\mathfrak{m}_\pm^{n*}(J_\pm^{n*} \setminus j_n(I_n)) = 0$, and $j_n(I_n) \setminus J_\pm^{n*} = \emptyset$ except the case $(\mathfrak{C}_+^n, \mathcal{E}_+)$ with **(R3iii)** (resp. $(\mathfrak{C}_-^n, \mathcal{E}_-)$ with **(L3iii)**), and in that case $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ on $[r_n, \infty)$ (resp. on $(-\infty, l_n]$). □

A. The orthogonal complements for Dirichlet subspaces of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$

The conclusions under subspace situation can be derived similarly. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a regular Dirichlet subspace of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ be its α-orthogonal complement. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) :\ f \ll \mathfrak{s},\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{df}{d\mathfrak{s}}\right)^2 d\mathfrak{s} < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}(f,g) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{df}{d\mathfrak{s}} \frac{dg}{d\mathfrak{s}} d\mathfrak{s}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is a strictly increasing and absolutely continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{s}'(x) = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Define $G := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathfrak{s}'(x) = 1\}$, then (see [7] and [5])

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \left\{ f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : f'(y) - f'(x) = 2\alpha \int_x^y f(z)dz,\ \text{a.e. } x, y \in G \right\}.$$

The proofs of the following theorems are actually the same as those in the previous sections, so we omit them.

Theorem A.1. *Suppose $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_+(x)}{2} e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} + \frac{\mathfrak{c}_-(x)}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $(\mathfrak{c}_+, \mathfrak{c}_-)$ is a pair of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{c}_\pm \ll dx$, $d\mathfrak{c}_\pm/dx = 0$ on G, and

$$\frac{d\mathfrak{c}_+}{dx} e^{\sqrt{2\alpha}x} = \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_-}{dx} e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}x} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{m}_\pm(dx) = e^{\pm 2\sqrt{2\alpha}x} dx$ and assume f has the above form, then $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{c}_+ \in H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathfrak{m}_+)$ and $\mathfrak{c}_- \in H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathfrak{m}_-)$, where

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathfrak{m}_\pm) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm) : u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{m}_\pm) \right\}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}_\pm &:= \{\mathfrak{c} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathfrak{m}_\pm) : d\mathfrak{c}/dx = 0 \text{ on } G\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_\pm(\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2) &:= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_1}{dx} \frac{d\mathfrak{c}_2}{dx} d\mathfrak{m}_\pm, \quad \mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_\pm.\end{aligned}$$

Further define the mapping

$$\Gamma_\pm : \mathcal{G}_\alpha \to \mathfrak{C}_\pm,\ f \mapsto \mathfrak{c}_\pm.$$

Theorem A.2. *The mapping $\Gamma_\pm$ is a linear bijection and there exists a constant $K_\alpha > 1$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$,*

$$K_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f) \le \mathcal{E}_1(f,f) \le K_\alpha \mathcal{E}_{\pm,1}(\Gamma_\pm f, \Gamma_\pm f).$$

It follows that $(\mathcal{E}_\pm, \mathfrak{C}_\pm)$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in the wide sense, and we have the same expression as Corollary 3.5. So the regular representation can be derived exactly the same way as before with cases **(L3)** and **(R3)**.

References

[1] Chen, Z.-Q., Fukushima, M.: Symmetric Markov processes, time change, and boundary theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (2012).

[2] Fang, X., Fukushima, M., Ying, J.: On regular Dirichlet subspaces of $H^1(I)$ and associated linear diffusions. Osaka J. Math. 42, 27-41 (2005).

[3] Fukushima, M.: Regular representations of Dirichlet spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155, 455-473 (1971).

[4] Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., Takeda, M.: Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (2011).

[5] Li, L., Song, X.: The α-orthogonal complements of regular Dirichlet subspaces for one-dimensional Brownian motion. Sci. China Math. 59(10), 2019-2026 (2016).

[6] Li, L., Ying, J.: Regular subspaces of Dirichlet forms. In: Festschrift Masatoshi Fukushima. pp. 397-420. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2015).

[7] Li, L., Ying, J.: On structure of regular Dirichlet subspaces for one-dimensional Brownian motion. to appear in Ann. Probab.

[8] Li, L., Ying, J.: Regular Dirichlet extensions of one-dimensional Brownian motion. arXiv: 1606.00630.

[9] Song, X., Li, L.: Regular Dirichlet subspaces and Mosco convergence. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. A. 37(1), 1-14 (2016).

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.
E-mail address: yuncongshen13@fudan.edu.cn

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.
E-mail address: liping_li@amss.ac.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.
E-mail address: jgying@fudan.edu.cn